

THE STARBURST-INTERSTELLAR MEDIUM INTERACTION IN NGC 1569 II. SMALL-SCALE EXAMINATION OF NEBULAR EMISSION, H II REGION SIZE DISTRIBUTION, AND H II REGION LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

BRENT A. BUCKALEW^{1,2} & HENRY A. KOBULNICKY¹

ABSTRACT

As the nearest dramatic example of a poststarburst galaxy driving a galactic wind, NGC 1569 is an ideal test environment to understand the impact of “feed-back” from massive star lives and deaths on the surrounding ISM. We present *HST WFPC2*¹ narrowband imagery of NGC 1569 in an attempt to understand the underlying ionizing emission mechanisms on a 3 pc scale and to generate a H II region size distribution and luminosity function. We use [O III]/H β and [S II]/H α ratio maps to find that non-photoionizing mechanisms (e.g. shocks) are responsible for $10\pm3\%$ of the H α emission, 2.3–3.3 times larger than results from similar galaxies. Note that our method of determining this result is different than these past results, a point that we discuss further in the article. The area of the non-photoionized region is 10–23% of the total. Our results for NGC 1569 indicate that these non-photoionized areas do not lie in low surface brightness regions exclusively. A comparison with multiwavelength point source catalogs of NGC 1569 indicates that the dominant non-photoionizing mechanisms are shocks from supernovae or winds from massive stars. To explain this large percentage of non-photoionized emission, we suggest that NGC 1569 is, indeed, in a post-starburst phase as previous authors have claimed. We also derived slopes for the H II region luminosity function (-1.00 ± 0.08) and size distribution (-3.02 ± 0.27). The luminosity slope, though shallow, is similar to previous work on this galaxy and other irregular galaxies. The size distribution slope is shallower than previous

¹Based on observations with the NASA/ESA *Hubble Space Telescope*, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, chipk@uwyo.edu

²Present Address: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, brentb@ipac.caltech.edu

slopes found for irregular galaxies, but our slope value fits into their confidence intervals and vice versa. Within 4 pc of the 10-20 Myr old superstar clusters A1, A2, and B, no bright HII regions exist to a luminosity limit of 2.95×10^{36} erg s⁻¹, suggesting that the winds and shocks have effectively terminated star formation in this small cavity. In the three annular regions around the SSCs, both the HII region luminosity function and HII region size distribution are consistent with respect to one another and the galaxy as a whole. The HII region surface densities within the annuli remain the same as the annuli are moved away from the superstar clusters. These results indicate that “feedback” effects in NGC 1569 are confined to the immediate vicinity of the most recent massive star formation event on scales of ~ 1 pc.

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (NGC 1569) — galaxies: ISM — galaxies: structure — ISM: structure

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Feedback Mechanisms

Elevated star formation rates of starburst galaxies produce a corresponding increase in the numbers of massive stars and supernovae. Stellar winds and supernovae can ionize their surrounding interstellar medium (ISM) to levels that cannot be explained by radiative processes (photoionization) alone. These non-photoionizing (shorthand for shocks, etc.) mechanisms, therefore, may contribute an appreciable amount to the excitation of the warm-phase ISM. In recent studies of non-photoionizing mechanisms in starburst galaxies, Calzetti et al. (2004) found that 3–4% of the H α emission in nearby starburst galaxies were produced by non-photoionizing sources and that the main cause of this non-photoionized emission was due to the mechanical energy injected from the recent and past star formation. These conclusions are significant because they are one of the first measurements of the small-scale feedback mechanisms from stars (i.e., stellar winds & supernovae explosions) produced in the starburst. Knowing the importance of star formation of the surrounding ISM on small-scales allows us to understand how larger amounts of star formation affect the global ISM, and thereby, galaxy evolution. Such global feedback mechanisms can drive the remaining gas, necessary to produce stars, from the galaxy to enrich the intergalactic medium (IGM). Such expansion of the ionized gas into the IGM appears to be occurring in NGC 1569, according to H α (Heckman et al. 1995) and X-ray (Martin et al. 2002) studies. Thus, NGC 1569 is ideal for studying the current non-photoionized processes within the galaxy and determining how important these processes are to the future of the ISM composition in this galaxy.

1.2. H II Region Luminosity Function and Size Distribution

The luminosity function (LF) of H II regions in a galaxy provides an excellent tracer of the recent (age ≤ 10 Myr) star formation. The form of this function is typically given as a power law in the form:

$$N(L)dL = AL^{-\alpha}dL, \quad (1)$$

where $N(L)dL$ is the number of H II regions with a H α luminosity between L and $L + dL$, A is a constant, and α is the slope of the power law. Past studies (e.g., Kennicutt et al. 1989) have found that the power law decreases for later galactic types (1.75 for LMC, 2.1 for M101, and 2.3 for M31). Some galaxies show a break in the LF slope where the fainter H II regions have a shallower slope (e.g., Rozas et al. 1996). Some suggest that this slope break is due to a transition between normal H II regions and giant H II regions (e.g., Kennicutt et al. 1989). Oey & Clarke (1998) suggest that the evolution of the ionizing stars in the clusters causes this slope break.

The H α luminosity function of NGC 1569 has been determined in the past by Youngblood & Hunter (1999). They find 31 H II regions and derive a slope of -1.38 ± 0.16 . They also find that the slope of the luminosity function turns over at lower luminosities. With the improved spatial resolution of HST, we find a factor of 30 more H II regions in NGC 1569 than was previously reported and a slope similar to that of Youngblood & Hunter (1999).

The size distribution of H II regions provides insight into the evolutionary state of star forming regions within a galaxy. This size distribution has been fitted by an exponential law in the past (e.g., van den Bergh 1981). However, Oey et al. (2003) suggest that the size distribution is best explained by a power law distribution because the LF and the size distribution are connected. For NGC 1569, Youngblood & Hunter (1999) fit the size distribution of the H II regions with an exponential function and derive a characteristic H II diameter of 34 ± 3 pc.

1.3. NGC 1569

NGC 1569 is a nearby ($D = 2.2 \pm 0.6$ Mpc; Israel 1988) Im galaxy that has been well-studied over the last 20 years. Two prominent, stellar-like features are located at the center of this galaxy, which are thought to be super-star clusters (SSCs; see Prada et al. 1994) formed in a starburst 2-10 Myr ago (González-Delgado et al. 1997). However, SSC A has been shown to be two stellar clusters superimposed (Maoz et al. 2001). Several studies using optical interference-filter imagery of the ionized gas show evidence of an eruptive event which occurred in the galaxy's past (e.g., Devost, Roy, & Drissen 1997). Kinematics of the

ionized gas that were studied by Tomita et al. (1994) showed that the expanding gas moves at speeds from 10 to 100 km s⁻¹. Heckman et al. (1995) found that the optical filaments at distances of 2 kpc from the center of the galaxy are traveling over 200 km s⁻¹.

The consensus of all these and other past studies is that a starburst occurred approximately 10 Myr ago. This event (of unknown origin but probably produced from an interaction with a H I companion, Mühle et al. 2005) produced super star clusters (SSCs) A1, A2, and B. Because of the numbers of massive stars and their rapid evolution (first giving rise to stellar winds and then supernovae), the galaxy underwent a pronounced kinematical and morphological change, even disruption, during the past several Myr. Evidence suggests that some fraction of the supernova ejected material will escape the galaxy and will enrich the IGM (Martin et al. 2002).

With the superior angular resolution of the *Hubble Space Telescope* over ground-based instruments, we determine the current amount of non-photoionized H α emission within the galaxy on a 3 pc by 3 pc scale. Using previous results, we determine the mechanisms necessary to produce this non-photoionized H α emission in this galaxy and whether the past star formation can explain the current amount of non-photoionized emission within the galaxy. We have also generated a new H II region luminosity function and size distribution. The observations and data reduction are presented in §2. Basic results of our analysis specifying the fraction of non-photoionized gas in NGC 1569 are given in §3, and discussion of our findings is given in §4. Results and Discussion of the H II region size distribution and luminosity function are given in §5 and §6 respectively. In §7 a summary of our findings and concluding remarks are made.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

WFPC2 images of NGC 1569 were taken 1999 September 23 for the Cycle 8 program, GO-8133. NGC 1569 was oriented in two of the wide-field chips (WF2 & WF3) of the camera with a nearby 10th magnitude star placed out of the field of view (see Figure 1). The effective plate scale is 0''.0996 pixel⁻¹ (1.06 pc pixel⁻¹ at the adopted distance of 2.2 Mpc). The GO-8133 images used here were F486N (H β), F502N ([O III]), F547M (\sim Strömgren *y*), F656N (H α), and F673N ([S II]). NGC 1569's heliocentric radial velocity is -104 km s⁻¹. The shift of each emission line is ~ -2 Å, and therefore, all emission lines were observed very near the center of the filter transmission curve. Observational parameters for these data are found in Table 1. See Buckalew et al. (2000) for more details on the calibration processes used for these images. In that paper, the reduction process was detailed for the F502N image only. However, the reduction process is similar for the F486N (H β), F502N ([O III]), F656N

($H\alpha$), and F673N ([S II]) images. For the analysis of the non-photoionized gas fractions, the images were binned in 3 pixels \times 3 pixels (3×3), 5 pixels \times 5 pixels (5×5), 7 pixels \times 7 pixels (7×7), and 9 pixels \times 9 pixels (9×9). Use of these binned images reduced the uncertainties in the emission-line ratio maps. For the analysis of the H II region luminosity function and size distribution, a calibrated, unbinned $H\alpha$ image was used.

The filter transmission curve of F656N covers $H\alpha$ as well as [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$. To remove this contamination of the $H\alpha$ emission, we compared the eight values of [N II]/ $H\alpha$ and [N II]/[S II] from Buckalew et al. (2005) to determine which varied the least. Calzetti et al. (2004) determined that [N II]/[S II] varies little over similar starburst galaxies. However, we found that [N II]/ $H\alpha$ varies less in NGC 1569 than [N II]/[S II]. Taking into account the blueshift, the wavelengths have changed by 2 Å for the 3 emission lines. The total system throughput ratios of $\lambda 6548/\lambda 6563$ and $\lambda 6583/\lambda 6563$ were determined, and the total percentage of [N II] contamination is found to be 1%. The $H\alpha$ image was multiplied by 0.99 to reflect this amount of contamination.

Flux calibration of the continuum-subtracted, interference-filter images was done using the procedure from Buckalew et al. (2000). The flux conversion numbers are in units of erg cm^{-2} DN $^{-1}$ and are 1.35×10^{-14} for F487N, 1.07×10^{-14} for F502N, 4.14×10^{-15} for F656N, and 3.95×10^{-15} for F673N.

The image with the lowest signal-to-noise, $H\beta$, was used to generate a mask. We determined the standard deviation of the background in the $H\beta$ image at several sky locations. The standard deviation was 2.5×10^{-17} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} in the 3×3 $H\beta$ image. Standard deviations were also measured for the 5×5 , 7×7 , and 9×9 binned images. We generated $H\beta$ masks at the 3σ , 5σ , 7σ , and 9σ levels above the mean sky to multiply to the appropriate binned images. The results discussed below will focus predominantly on the 3×3 binned images that have been masked to remove pixels with a flux level less than 5σ above the mean sky (hereafter 3×3 5σ).

Three ratio maps were made of the galaxy: $H\alpha/H\beta$, [O III]/ $H\beta$, and [S II]/ $H\alpha$. The $H\alpha/H\beta$ ratio map was used to deredden the [O III]/ $H\beta$ and [S II]/ $H\alpha$ maps. The pixels in the $H\alpha/H\beta$ ratio map with $H\alpha/H\beta < 2.86$ were removed because 2.86 is our assumed theoretical ratio of these two hydrogen lines (Osterbrock 1989), typical for a H II region with T_e of 10,000 K and N_e of $100 \text{ e}^- \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The following equation was used to convert $H\alpha/H\beta$ to $C(H\beta)$:

$$C(H\beta) = (\log[H\alpha/H\beta] - \log[2.86])/0.326, \quad (2)$$

where $H\alpha/H\beta$ indicates a value from our ratio map. With the $C(H\beta)$ ratio map, we dered-

dened the other ratio maps using the following formulae:

$$I([O III])/I(H\beta) = F([O III])/F(H\beta) \times 10^{-0.03C(H\beta)}, \quad (3)$$

$$I([S II])/I(H\alpha) = F([S II])/F(H\alpha) \times 10^{-0.02C(H\beta)}, \quad (4)$$

where $I()$ means the dereddened fluxes and $F()$ indicates the reddened fluxes from the ratio maps. The multiplicative factors to $C(H\beta)$ are based on the reddening law from Seaton (1979). The average $C(H\beta)$ for this galaxy is 0.69 ($E(B-V) = 0.47$ & $A_V = 1.5$ mag). Thus, the reddening only decreases the ratios by an average of 5%. With the dereddened ratio maps, we determined which 3×3 5σ $[O III]/H\beta$ and $[S II]/H\alpha$ ratios had a reasonable $H\alpha/H\beta$ ratio (i.e. $H\alpha/H\beta > 2.86$). Figure 2 displays the 3×3 5σ $[O III]/H\beta$ ratio map, and Figure 3 displays the 3×3 5σ $[S II]/H\alpha$ ratio map.

3. EMISSION MECHANISM RESULTS

3.1. Determination of Photoionized and Non-Photoionized Regions

In Figure 4 we plot the 3×3 5σ $[O III]/H\beta$ versus $[S II]/H\alpha$ values to compare with the maximum starburst relation from Kewley et al. (2001). This line denotes the upper limit between photoionized gas (below the line in Figure 4) and ionized gas from shocks or other non-photoionizing sources (above the line in Figure 4). All points above this maximum starburst line are counted as emission due to non-photoionizing processes. All points below the maximum starburst line are counted as due to photoionizing processes. The distribution of the NGC 1569 points is similar to that of NGC 4214 (Calzetti et al. 2004). From Figure 4 we find that 16% of the total number of points fall above the maximum starburst line. This areal percentage is similar to those found for NGC 3077, NGC 4214, NGC 5236, and NGC 5253 (Calzetti et al. 2004). The ratio of the $H\alpha$ luminosity in these non-photoionized points to the total 3×3 5σ $H\alpha$ luminosity is 15%.

Compared to previous results from Calzetti et al. (2004), this percentage is four to five times larger than the percentages of NGC 3077, NGC 4214, NGC 5236, and NGC 5253 (range 3–4%). Note that the method for determining non-photoionized results is different between ours and that of Calzetti et al. (2004). We choose everything above the maximum starburst line while Calzetti et al. (2004) use an additional criterion (their dashed line in their Figure 7). This dashed line, in a basic way, limits $\log([S II]/H\alpha)$ to values ≥ -0.5 or ≥ -0.4 . These differences in selection criterion are based on differences in the shock models used. We use the shock models of Hartigan et al. (1987); see Calzetti et al. (2004) for their shock models. If we use their additional limitation, we determine a non-photoionized percentage of

2–1%. Thus, we can simply say that the non-photoionized percentage of emission is different in NGC 1569 than that of other irregular galaxies regardless of the shock models used.

The 3×3 3σ , 3×3 7σ , and 3×3 9σ non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity percentages of NGC 1569 are 19%, 12%, and 10% respectively. The limited decrease in non-photoionized percentage as a function of increasing σ suggests that the non-photoionized emission of NGC 1569 is found in high surface-brightness regions. This lack of decrease is at odds with what Calzetti et al. (2004) found for other, similar irregular galaxies. The fraction of non-photoionized flux found in the low signal-to-noise threshold (5σ) maps should be much larger (not just a factor of 1.5) than the fraction found in the higher signal-to-noise threshold maps. The lower signal-to-noise ratio maps should give a higher percentage simply because more lower surface brightness regions would be counted, typically the location of non-photoionized emission. We discuss in §4 possible sources to explain this high surface brightness non-photoionized emission in NGC 1569.

Because the percentage found from the 3×3 5σ maps is so much larger than the previous results, we determined the fraction of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission from the 5×5 , 7×7 , and 9×9 5σ maps. The 5σ non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity percentages for 5×5 , 7×7 , and 9×9 are 16%, 10%, and 10% respectively. Increasing either the bin size to 9×9 or the sigma to 9σ causes a 5% decrease in the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity. The 9×9 9σ result is 5%, a factor of 3 decrease, but still the largest percentage for an irregular galaxy. The majority of these results fall at $10\%\pm3\%$, which is the percentage that we claim for NGC 1569, whether we use 3×3 5σ or 9×9 9σ maps. The decrease in the fraction of non-photoionized luminosity with bin size is caused by spatial dilution with the photoionized emission.

The idea of spatial dilution brings up the important point that NGC 1569 is closer, by a factor of 2, than the irregular galaxies studied in Calzetti et al. (2004). Since they use a 3×3 5σ result, we must compare a similar spatial result to theirs. The results above that are comparable in spatial resolution are the 5×5 5σ and 7×7 5σ results (the best being a 6×6 5σ result). Both of these results are 10%. Thus, our final, accepted percentage of $10\%\pm3\%$ works well for comparison with these further irregular galaxies.

3.2. Further Quantities Derived from the $H\alpha$ Images and Ratio Maps

From the $H\alpha$ luminosity of the 3×3 5σ results, we derive the star formation rate (SFR) and number of hydrogen ionizing photons per second (Q_0) using the equations from Kennicutt (1998). These results, along with those from §3.1, are summarized in Table 2. When we compare these results to those of similar nearby starburst galaxies in Calzetti et al. (2004),

we find that the SFR and Q_0 of NGC 1569 are similar. This similarity is also true of the total $H\alpha$ luminosities and areal coverage of the non-photoionizing luminosity. However, we showed in §3.1 that the major difference is the ratio of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity to the total luminosity, which we will discuss further in §4.

We have compared the properties of the photoionized and non-photoionized properties using a Wilcoxon test to determine differences between the samples. As confirmation that the Wilcoxon test works, we find that $[O\ III]/H\beta$ and $[S\ II]/H\alpha$ are much higher in non-photoionized areas compared to photoionized areas. We find that the southern areas of NGC 1569 are prevalently non-photoionized areas. The visible outflow and $H\alpha$ shell lie on this side of NGC 1569. This outflow lies on the near side of NGC 1569, making the non-photoionized emission more prominent. We also find that non-photoionized areas tend to have larger $C(H\beta)$, which can be explained by dust or non-photoionized mechanisms. Hartigan et al. (1987) shows that shock excitation can elevate $H\alpha/H\beta$. Finally, we find that the continuum flux is higher at the locations of the non-photoionized emission compared to the locations of the photoionized emission. Perhaps, this finding means that the non-photoionized emission is typically coming from areas closer to stars and star clusters, but this interpretation is speculative at best. What we find below is that while some non-photoionized emission is near bright continuum sources, the majority is scattered throughout the galaxy neither tending to be near or far from resolved stars and star clusters.

4. DISCUSSION OF NON-PHOTOIONIZED EMISSION

A significant fraction of the $H\alpha$ luminosity in this galaxy arises from non-photoionizing sources. NGC 1569 is riddled with $H\alpha$ shells, arcs, and filaments throughout the main body of the galaxy (see Figure 1). Can the mechanical energy from the stellar winds and supernovae explain the amount of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity? Do potential sources such as Wolf-Rayet stars and supernovae remnants or different gas phases, such as the X-ray hot gas, explain the locations of some of these non-photoionized sources?

4.1. Is the Starburst Enough?

4.1.1. Continuous Star Formation

We derive a SFR of $0.15\ M_{\odot}\ yr^{-1}$ from the $H\alpha$ luminosity. We generated Starburst 99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) continuous star formation models from 0 Myr to 100 Myr to determine the amount of mechanical luminosity generated over this time frame. The model

parameters are the metallicity of $Z = 0.004$, lower and upper stellar mass limits of $0.1 M_{\odot}$ and $100 M_{\odot}$, and a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF). The 10 Myr and 100 Myr model mechanical luminosities are $3.02 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ and $8.91 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ respectively. We follow the prescription of Calzetti et al. (2004) and assume that the $H\alpha$ luminosity due to the mechanical luminosity is 2.5%. The $H\alpha$ luminosity due to these mechanical energy sources is $7.5 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ and $2.2 \times 10^{39} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ for 10 Myr and 100 Myr respectively. Our estimate of the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity is $2.9 \times 10^{39} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$. These model non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosities are 4–1.3 times too low to explain the current amount of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity that we estimate. Greggio et al. (1998) state that ~ 5 Myr ago the SFR was $0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and that this SFR was constant over the past 100 Myr. If we assume that the SFR was $0.15 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ over the past 5 Myr, the mechanical luminosity of this contribution is 10 times too low to explain the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity. The SFR of $0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ can provide a sufficient amount of mechanical luminosity after ~ 10 –20 Myr to explain the current non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity seen. We agree with Greggio et al. (1998) in so far that the SFR must have been higher in the past to explain the amount of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission currently seen, if this emission is from the mechanical energy of supernovae and stellar winds.

4.1.2. *Instantaneous Star Formation*

We assume that the star formation occurred instantaneously and that the stellar mass produced in the burst is equivalent to the most massive star clusters. According to Anders et al. (2004), the mass of the three largest clusters is between 6.2 – $6.6 \log(M_{\odot})$; similar masses were found in Buckalew et al. (2005). We used the Anders et al. (2004) mass range in an instantaneous burst Starburst 99 model (other parameters are similar to those used in the continuous star formation) to determine the lower and upper mechanical luminosities at 10 Myr and 100 Myr, which were converted to $H\alpha$ luminosities using the same assumptions as before. The $H\alpha$ mechanical luminosity range for 10 Myr and 100 Myr is $7.1 \times 10^{38-39} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ and $1.6 \times 10^{32-33} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ respectively. At 100 Myr, the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity remaining long after the burst is minimal. But at 10 Myr, the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity is similar to that found in our study, and a majority of the mass range gives enough non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity to explain our results as well. This result is consistent with independent measurements of the star formation history that indicates that the burst probably occurred ~ 10 Myr ago (e.g., Greggio et al. 1998). It also suggests that the SSCs and bright H II region are responsible for the majority, if not all, non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission within this galaxy. However, not all non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity is necessarily explained by these sources. Some non-photoionized emission may be explained by individual

events/sources.

4.2. Sources Responsible for the Morphology of the Non-Photoionized Gas

We have already shown that the past star formation can explain the amount of non-photoionized gas. However, we can explicitly show that certain types of objects (e.g. Wolf-Rayet stars) are responsible for specific non-photoionized emission and the morphology of that emission. We compare the locations of the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ with the locations of detected radio supernovae, Wolf-Rayet stars, star clusters, radio point sources, X-ray point sources, X-ray extended emission, and CO molecular cloud detections.

4.2.1. *Wolf-Rayet Stars & Star Clusters with Wolf-Rayet Stars*

Wolf-Rayet stars make good sources of mechanical ionization because they have strong winds. They are also indicators that star formation has occurred recently. In Figure 5, we plot the positions of Wolf-Rayet stars from Buckalew et al. (2000) with the non-photoionized points on the $H\alpha$ image. The positions of the Wolf-Rayet stars are 2 pixel-wide black squares and labeled with their name from Buckalew et al. (2000). The non-photoionized points are 1 pixel-wide white squares.

Wolf-Rayet star S1 has a few non-photoionized points adjacent to its location. Wolf-Rayet stars S2 through S5 and Unknown He II sources U1 through U3 have very little non-photoionized gas surrounding them. An arc lies above and to the left of S5 in Figure 5, but we would not expect this particular arc to be caused by S5. SSC A (C4) is probably the main cause of this arc. Wolf-Rayet star S6 shows 3 non-photoionized regions adjacent to or on top of its location. Wolf-Rayet star S7 has no associated non-photoionized emission. This non-detection may result from low signal-to-noise in the $H\beta$ image, or the possibility exists that the wind from S7 is too slow to produce significant mechanical luminosity.

In the star clusters with Wolf-Rayet stars, cluster C1 has a few non-photoionized points adjacent to it. Buckalew et al. (2000) showed that this “Wolf-Rayet” star cluster also had extended He II emission, which is coincident with the non-photoionized points. This extended He II emission signifies a shock velocity of $\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the shock velocity where a maximum of He II emission is produced (Garnett et al. 1991). The wall of non-photoionized points between C1 and C3 could be due to a wind-wind interaction between these clusters. C2 has one point of shocked gas. This non-photoionized point lies in the same direction as the extended He II emission found in Buckalew et al. (2000), implying a shock velocity of

$\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. C3 has a significant amount of non-photoionized gas on top of and around this star cluster. The line of points running through it do not trace any noticeable arc or filament in the $\text{H}\alpha$ image (Figure 5). C4 (aka SSC A) is adjacent to S5 and the non-photoionized arc near C4 is probably caused by stellar winds from C4. C5 has an arc of non-photoionized emission to its lower right. This young cluster is probably the culprit for the majority of this non-photoionized emission. However, the massive star clusters in that H II region may also be contributing significantly, possibly this is a wind-wind interaction between the two star clusters. The average distance between the line and cluster is $\sim 6 \text{ pc}$. If we assume a shock velocity of 100 km s^{-1} , then the shocked gas originated a minimum of 10^{4-5} yrs ago. Given the brevity of the Wolf-Rayet phase, this time scale would suggest that the onset of the Wolf-Rayet phase is responsible for the line of non-photoionized emission.

4.2.2. *Star Clusters*

We have plotted the WFPC2 F555W images of GO-6111 (Greggio et al. 1998) and GO-6423 (Hunter et al. 2000) along with the non-photoionized points in Figure 6. The positions of important star clusters are designated by their name from Hunter et al. (2000) and a line connects that name to the appropriate star cluster. The non-photoionized points are 1 pixel-wide white squares. Four arcs of non-photoionized gas near SSC A and SSC B are very prominent. The arc closest to SSC A appears to be wrapped around a cluster/star south of SSC A. Perhaps the wind of this star is interacting with a nearby, denser ISM. However, this arc is apparent in the left panel of Figure 6 but not the right panel. This arc (although more a line) is apparent in Figure 1 and must not, therefore, be an artifact due to the coordinate transformation. The cluster/star is the nearest stellar object inside this arc. No other point source or extended source can explain this arc. The other arc is just west of SSC B. This arc is apparent in Figures 1 and 6 and could be due to a wind-wind interaction between SSC B and cluster 28 (designation from Hunter et al. 2000) and the other cluster/star south of 28 that has no designation. The last arc is west of SSC A and is associated with cluster 10 and possibly clusters 13, 14, & 15. The arc is between cluster 10 (a.k.a. C1 from §4.2.1) and clusters 13-15, again a possible wind-wind interaction between these clusters. Another arc is present and associated with clusters 39 and 40. Cluster 39 is C5 from §4.2.1 and has already been discussed. Clusters 30 and 35 have non-photoionized emission associated with their position (see Figure 6). Clusters 29 and 34 have at least one non-photoionized point near their location and are bright X-ray sources that are mentioned in §4.2.4. Finally, a large shell of non-photoionized gas surrounds the clusters 6, 7, 9, and 10. This shell is supported by the winds of these clusters and extended X-ray emission (discussed in §4.2.4). Several other sources such as the thermal radio source M-1 from Greve et al. (2002) and the molecular

cloud 3 from Taylor et al. (1999) are also present at this region and help to show that this location is currently a site of vigorous star formation.

4.2.3. *Radio Sources from Greve et al. (2002)*

In Figure 7 we plot the radio-detected sources, such as supernovae and supernova remnants (SNRs), from Greve et al. (2002) along with the non-photoionized locations. The positions of the radio sources are 2 pixel-wide black squares and labeled with their name from Greve et al. (2002). The non-photoionized points are 1 pixel-wide white squares. The grayscale scheme used in §4.2.1 is implemented here. Note that radio sources M-a through M-d are tentative detections and are not known to be radio supernovae or SNRs yet.

M-1 and M-b,c,d are found inside a large shell of non-photoionized points. These points surround the brightest H II region complex within the galaxy. M-1, a thermal source according to Greve et al. (2002), is probably just the thermal radio emission from this large H II complex termed region 2 in Waller (1991). M-2 is a radio supernovae or SNR with one non-photoionized point adjacent to our marker. M-3 is a radio supernovae or SNR according to Greve et al. (2002). This source is situated in the middle of a large group of non-photoionized points. M-3 is more than likely responsible for some of these. However, we show in the next section that a X-ray binary is also found in the same area. According to Greve et al. (2002), M-4 is a thermal source (i.e., the H II region found below the point marker), and a few non-photoionized points lie near the marker. M-5 is a thermal source, according to Greve et al. (2002) and is probably associated with the coincident H II region. However, a H α shell is found at the upper right hand corner of this H II region and is the source of the large number of non-photoionized points to the upper right of M-5. We think that M-5 is this shell and not the H II region. M-6 is a SNR according to Greve et al. (2002) and was also detected optically by Shopbell et al. (2000). As a side note, the size of the SNR in the radio is smaller than the optical counterpart (17 pc versus 21 pc). The brightest section of the SNR is coincident with the non-photoionized points. VLA-8 is most likely a SNR as well as VLA-16 (Greve et al. 2002). Regardless of their definition, these objects appear to contribute to the non-photoionized emission in their surroundings. The only SNR or radio supernovae without any nearby non-photoionized gas is VLA-11, probably caused by the lack of signal-to-noise in our H α image at the position of VLA-11.

4.2.4. *X-ray Point Sources*

In Figure 8 we plot the X-ray point sources from Martin et al. (2002) along with the non-photoionized locations on the $H\alpha$ image. The positions of the X-ray sources are 2 pixel-wide black squares. The non-photoionized points are 1 pixel-wide white squares. We label each Martin et al. (2002) source with their number from that article along with a one letter identification indicating the nature of the source: C for cluster, S for SNR, and X for X-ray binary. Objects 19C and 22C are clusters 29 and 34 from Hunter et al. (2000). Both clusters have at least one non-photoionized point adjacent to their position. More than likely, these points are associated with those clusters, especially 19C since no other object exists in this evacuated cavity. Martin et al. (2002) were unsure if 28S was indeed a SNR. Comparing the Greve et al. (2002) results to these, we find that 28S is M-6, which is also the optical SNR discovered by Shopbell et al. (2000). We discussed the non-photoionized gas around this point in §4.2.3. All X-ray binaries except for 21X, 25X, 26X, and 29X have some nearby non-photoionized gas. Of these without non-photoionized gas, 21X and 26X sit inside large shells which have shocked gas along their edges. Perhaps these two X-ray binaries or their predecessors are responsible for these shells. Two of the remaining X-ray binaries deserve comment. 14X lies near M-3, the SNR. Thus, the SNR may not be completely responsible for this large non-photoionized area, or 14X was misdiagnosed as a X-ray binary and is actually the X-ray detection of M-3. Similarly, 24X sits atop the line previously explained by winds from C5, a cluster with detected Wolf-Rayet stars, and is possibly responsible for some percentage of the mechanical $H\alpha$ luminosity found at this location.

4.2.5. *Extended X-ray Emission*

In Figure 9, we show the 0.3–7 keV X-ray image from Martin et al. (2002) along with the locations of the non-photoionized gas. One correlation is seen between the extended emission and non-photoionized gas, the large X-ray extended emission located over the brightest H II region complex (marked with a black circle in Figure 9). This location was also detected as M-1 in Greve et al. (2002), a thermal source attributed to the H II region complex termed 2 in Waller (1991).

4.2.6. *CO Emission from Molecular Clouds*

We have plotted in Figure 10 the non-photoionized points on a CO map from Taylor et al. (1999). The different molecular clouds are labeled using the designations from Taylor

et al. (1999). The only clouds found near non-photoionizing sources are 3 and 4. The molecular cloud 3 is found in the extended X-ray and thermal radio emission associated with the brightest H II region complex. The cloud appears to be circumscribed by the non-photoionized gas. Also, the local minimum in CO emission between 3 and 1+2 is coincident with one side of the non-photoionized shell circumscribing 3. The molecular cloud 4 is found coincident with some non-photoionized gas but too few non-photoionized points are present in that location to define the morphology of the cloud. Other low level features not labeled on the CO map are noise.

4.2.7. *Summary*

The most important correlation of the non-photoionized points with individual sources is with the largest H II complex. A ring of non-photoionized emission encircles the H II region complex 2 Waller (1991). This complex is the largest site of current star formation in NGC 1569. This site is also host to a large thermal radio source, extended X-ray emission, molecular cloud cores, supernovae, Wolf-Rayet stars, and star clusters. The stellar winds and supernovae explosions caused by this vigorous star formation are causing a large evacuation of gas which is striking the nearby ISM. Future kinematical evidence may show that the velocity of the gas is greater than the escape velocity. If it will escape, this complex may be similar (yet smaller) to the H II complex that contained SSCs A1/2 & B. In a few Myr, NGC 1569 may have another large H α arm similar to the one currently ejected due to the super star clusters' creation.

Other features of the non-photoionized emission appear to be related to SSCs A1/2 & B. A few arcs of non-photoionized emission seem to be near these two clusters and could be attributed to stellar winds emanating from these clusters and interacting with the winds from other nearby star clusters. Several other star clusters with Wolf-Rayet stars, without Wolf-Rayet stars, with X-ray emission, and/or with thermal radio emission appear with non-photoionized emission on top of or adjacent to these systems. The most important is C5 (Buckalew et al. 2000). A significant arc of non-photoionized emission appears around this star cluster with Wolf-Rayet stars. The onset of the Wolf-Rayet phase in the OB stars is the likeliest explanation for the shocked emission.

Other point sources that have non-photoionized emission are radio and optical supernovae, low-mass X-ray binaries, and Wolf-Rayet stars. With some of Wolf-Rayet stars, we notice that the position of the non-photoionized emission is in the same direction as the extended He II emission emanating from these sources. We suggest that this indicates the shock velocity is $\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the velocity where He II 4686 Å emission peaks.

4.3. Origin of the large percentage of non-photoionized gas in NGC 1569

Calzetti et al. (2004) stated that the maximum percentage of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity should be no more than 10–20%. NGC 1569 lies within this range. These maximum percentages occur when the number of supernovae or stellar winds shocking the ISM stays the same and the level of photoionized emission is decreasing (i.e., when the OB stars are exploding as supernovae). This scenario typically occurs for older ages of a starburst, 6–20 Myr roughly. Previous papers (e.g., González-Delgado et al. 1997) concluded that NGC 1569 is in a post starburst phase. The ages of the super star clusters or large star clusters in NGC 1569 have ages around 10–20 Myr. Thus, the major star formation event is over, but star formation still occurs in smaller areas of the galaxies. The shocks produced from the major star formation event, which produced SSCs A1, A2, and B, are producing the non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission while a new, smaller (by a factor of 3) amount of star formation provides the photoionized component.

5. MEASURING THE H II SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND LUMINOSITY FUNCTIONS

We employed HIIphot (Thilker et al. 2000) to determine the positions, sizes, and fluxes of H II regions in NGC 1569. We used the values of 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, 2, 3, and 10 for the input terminal gradient parameter that determines when the code stops determining the boundary of the H II region. The program generated ~ 6500 potential H II regions over the entire galaxy. Because the galaxy has a tremendous filamentary structure, the program interpreted each arc as a separate H II region. To remove the detections of the filamentary structures as H II regions, we accepted only those sources with a signal-to-noise of 100 or greater. After visual inspection to certify that the list does not contain filamentary structures, the actual number of H II regions used in the analysis was reduced to 1018. To deredden the luminosities of each source, we took the positions of the H II regions and calculated the mean $3 \times 3 \text{ } 5\sigma$ $H\alpha/H\beta$ value at these positions. The aperture used to calculate this mean was equal to the size of the H II region. In some cases, no valid points were found around the H II region, and we accepted a $C(H\beta)$ value equal to the average photoionized $C(H\beta)$ of the $3 \times 3 \text{ } 5\sigma$ results (0.67). The final range of $H\alpha$ luminosities of the H II regions was $10^{36.4} \text{--} 10^{38.91} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$. The effective FWHM measurements of this final luminosity sample of H II sources were converted to 3σ H II region diameters, producing a range of 6.3–44.8 pc.

5.1. Computing the H II Region Size Distributions

A diameter histogram of the ~ 1000 H II regions was generated. The size of the bins are dictated by the size of the sample and by the standard deviation of the sample. The equation relating these three values is given by the equation of Scott (1979):

$$Binsize = 3.5\sigma n^{-1/3}, \quad (5)$$

where σ is the standard deviation and n is the sample size. These bins stretched to include the minimum value at the far left of the first bin and were added until the entire sample was covered. Uncertainties for each bin were estimated by assuming that the uncertainties of the bin were Poissonian (i.e. \sqrt{n}). Because we plot the log of the bin sizes in Figure 11, the uncertainties of each bin are $(\sqrt{n}\ln[10])^{-1}$.

Using the frequency values and uncertainties, we assumed that the H II region size distribution followed a power law like Oey et al. (2003). Linear regression was used to determine the slope (i.e. power law index) with the logarithmic values of the frequency (i.e. number of points in a bin) and diameter. The first fit taking into account all bins was significant to 23%, which is not a statistically significant fit. Removing the aberrant second left value from the fit, we derive a marginally significant (10%) fit to the data. The equation is the following:

$$\log(N) = -3.02 \pm 0.27 \times \log(D) + 5.16 \pm 0.27, \quad (6)$$

where N is the frequency of a certain bin and D is the diameter value of the middle of the bin. Others (e.g., Youngblood & Hunter 1999) have fit the size distribution with an exponential function. We have also fit the size distribution with an exponential function. The significance of such a fit (15%) is lower than the power law fit. For completeness, the equation is

$$N = e^{-0.23 \pm 0.02 \times D + 7.54 \pm 0.23}, \quad (7)$$

where the letters represent the same values as the previous equation.

5.2. Computing the H II Region Luminosity Functions

Using the logarithmic $H\alpha$ luminosities of the H II regions, we generated a luminosity histogram. We follow the procedure outlined in the previous section for generating histograms. This histogram, displayed in Figure 12, was fitted by a power law distribution. The 3 leftmost points are found below the fourth. The fit of all points except the four leftmost is significant to 8%:

$$\log(N) = -1.00 \pm 0.08 \times \log(L) + 39.25 \pm 2.14, \quad (8)$$

where N is the number of points in one bin and L is the average luminosity for the bin. For comparison, the slope of the luminosity function for NGC 1569 in Youngblood & Hunter (1999) is -1.38 ± 0.16 , which is not within the 3σ confidence interval of our result. The luminosity range of Youngblood & Hunter (1999) is between $\text{Log}(L)=38.0\text{--}39.9$. Our fitted logarithmic luminosity range is $36.4\text{--}38.91$. We have plotted the slope of Youngblood & Hunter (1999) in Figure 12 over the luminosity range of their data. Note that their slope does work for our points found in a similar luminosity range. The most significant fit is for the luminosity range $37.22\text{--}38.91$ and is the following:

$$\log(N) = -1.22 \pm 0.06 \times \log(L) + 47.504 \pm 2.14. \quad (9)$$

This slope is found within the 3σ confidence intervals of the slope from Youngblood & Hunter (1999) and vice versa. The best fit equations along with the correlation coefficients for both the size distribution and luminosity function are given in Table 3.

Because of the turnover of the luminosity function at the logarithmic luminosity value ~ 37.0 , incompleteness corrections may be necessary. We used IRAF to add elliptical galaxies to the image for HIIPHOT to detect. Elliptical galaxies were used because they are more extended than point sources and because no random H II region maker exists.

The luminosity range of elliptical galaxies ranged from logarithmic values of 36.4 to 37.2 . Above the logarithmic value of 37.1 , HIIPHOT detects 100% of the added “H II” regions. Below this value, HIIPHOT fails to detect 8% of the added H II regions (incompleteness corrected bins are displayed in Figure 12). Adding this correction factor to those luminosity bins produces little change in the slope value. The slope value for the incompleteness corrected bins produces a slope change of 0.01 . This small change is within the confidence interval of the original slope. Thus, the contribution of the incompleteness corrections is insignificant. With this incompleteness method, nothing can be said about corrections to the size distribution. The added sources are not H II regions but elliptical galaxies with similar $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities.

We also produced luminosity functions and size distributions of three subsamples of the H II regions (see Figure 13). These three subsamples were determined based on their position from the “center of the starburst.” We place the center of the starburst at the location halfway between SSCs A(1 and 2) and B. The location of all H II regions in our sample have a minimum distance of 4 pc (maximum of 630 pc), suggesting that the winds and shocks have effectively terminated star formation in this small cavity. The first annuli is 115 pc wide with the second is 62.7 pc wide, indicating that massive amounts of star formation are evacuated from the super star cluster area but are occurring rapidly outside this area. The luminosity function and size distribution fits for these subsamples were all significant, but the slopes for the size distribution and luminosity function are the same regardless of

position from the super star clusters. The H II region surface density was computed for each annuli, and these densities within the annuli increase by a factor of 1.33 from the first to the second annulus. These results indicate that these feedback effects in NGC 1569 are confined to the immediate vicinity of the most recent massive star formation event on scales of ~ 1 pc.

6. DISCUSSION OF H II REGION SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

6.1. H II Region Size Distribution

Our power law slope of -3.02 is smaller than slopes of similar irregular galaxies (-3.39 to -4.16; Oey et al. 2003). Oey et al. (2003) state that a power law slope would provide only a good description of the size distribution for H II regions greater than 130 pc in diameter and that a slope should be zero for diameters less than 130 pc. All H II regions in our sample have diameters less than 130 pc, but we do not find a slope of zero. We speculate why we do not find a zero slope as either due to the fact that we have not detected several large (~ 45 pc) H II regions or that the resolution differences between our and past images are significant. The first possibility can be ruled out because our HST H α images have exceptionally high signal-to-noise and high spatial resolution. Such large systems would be detected easily. Thus, the differences in spatial resolution of HST make this assertion untrue that the power law slope should be flat for diameters less than 130 pc.

Comparing our number of H II regions with those from Youngblood & Hunter (1999), we have 30 times more H II regions. Our largest H II region diameter is 3 times smaller (45 pc versus 159 pc) than that observed by Youngblood & Hunter (1999), demonstrating the superior resolution of the HST images.

6.2. H II Region Luminosity Function

Our H II region luminosity function slope, -1.00, found in this study is similar to those from previous studies of irregular galaxies (-0.60 to -2.67 Youngblood & Hunter 1999). Even with the resolution of the HST H α image, we get a similar slope. The HST image allows us to resolve H II region complexes that are listed in past ground-based observations (e.g., Waller 1991) into several smaller H II regions. These large H II region complexes were used by Youngblood & Hunter (1999). Their slope is steeper and covers a smaller luminosity range. Their slope fits our luminosity data over their luminosity range of 38.0–39.0 dex. We

derive a similar slope of -1.22 over a larger luminosity range (37.22–38.91 dex) if we only fit our histogram bins before they begin to turnover. Thus, the slope of Youngblood & Hunter (1999) is accurate to luminosities down to logarithmic $H\alpha$ luminosities of 37.22 dex.

We can test the statement of Oey & Clarke (1998) that a power law slope used on luminosities below L_{sat} ($10^{38.5}$ ergs s^{-1}) should be flat according to their models. We measured only five H II regions above this saturation limit, and we do not measure a flat slope for our luminosity function. Therefore, a nearly unsaturated (the number of stars in a H II region does not sample the IMF well) sample of H II regions produces a luminosity function with a shallow, though statistically significant, slope. Oey & Clarke (1998) also state that the slope of the luminosity function should break in two locations, once at the average luminosity of the luminosity function and once at the saturated luminosity value of $10^{38.5}$ ergs s^{-1} . We fit a linear regression to the bins in Figure 12 that are below and above the average luminosity ($10^{37.16}$ for our results) as well as the saturation limit. The slope below the average luminosity is 1.08 ± 0.44 , the slope above the average luminosity and below the saturated luminosity is -1.24 ± 0.07 , and the slope above the saturation limit is -1.55 ± 0.34 . The significances of these fits are 55%, 97%, and 91% respectively. The slope below the average luminosity is different than the slope above the average luminosity but not significantly. The other two fits are statistically significant, but the slopes are within each other’s 3σ confidence intervals. Since Oey & Clarke (1998) discuss the slopes by themselves, we do find that the slope above and below the average luminosity are statistically significant. We do not find that the slope for luminosities greater than the average luminosity is different than that for slopes greater than the saturation luminosity.

6.3. Consequences of a Large Amount of Non-Photoionized Emission

Our finding implies a large amount of non-photoionized gas in a small galaxy, and leads us to suspect previous derivations of reddening and metallicities based on ground-based data. Possibly the metallicity of this galaxy is in fact larger than previously thought. However, $[\text{O III}]/H\beta$ and $[\text{S II}]/H\alpha$ alone cannot give accurate metallicity measurements because the emission-line ratios are degenerate in metallicity and ionization parameter (Kewley et al. 2001). Reddening could be lower than previously thought because the $H\alpha$ emission relative to $H\beta$ is increased by shocks and other non-photoionizing mechanisms. We compare the $C(H\beta)$ averages of the non-photoionized and photoionized gas using the 3×3 5σ maps. The average for the non-photoionized gas is 0.76 and that of the photoionized points is 0.67. We test the significance in the differences in the averages using the F*-test for means. We calculate a p-value of 0, implying a significant difference. When the average $C(H\beta)$ is taken

for the entire galaxy, the value is 0.69. Thus, the presence of non-photoionized gas increases the derived $C(H\beta)$ by only 0.02 (or A_V of 0.04 mag) over the pure photoionized average. Because reddening is not affected significantly by a large percentage of non-photoionized emission, we hypothesize that the metallicity will not be altered by more than 0.2 dex.

To determine if the large non-photoionized emission has any noticeable effect on the luminosity function and size distribution, we used HIIphot on the HST $H\alpha$ images of NGC 4214 using a similar procedure to that described in §5.2 and §5.1. The main difference between NGC 4214 and NGC 1569 is the amount of non-photoionized emission. No other differences are significantly different between these two galaxies, such as metallicity, star formation rate, etc. These $H\alpha$ images are the ones used by Calzetti et al. (2004). We find a statistically significant luminosity function slope of -1.30 ± 0.07 over a range of luminosities from 36.90 dex to 38.64 dex (sample size of 438 objects). The slope is steeper than the one found for NGC 1569 over a luminosity range of 36.81 dex to 38.91 dex, -1.00 ± 0.08 . Neither slope is found in the 3σ confidence interval of the other, but their confidence intervals do overlap. However, our other slope for the range from 37.22 dex to 38.91 dex, -1.22 ± 0.06 , is well within the 3σ confidence intervals of the other. We find a statistically significant size distribution slope of -2.37 ± 0.2 over a range of diameters from 7.28 pc to 124 pc. This slope is shallower than the -3.39 ± 1.94 slope from Oey et al. (2003), but our slope for NGC 4214 is found well inside their confidence intervals (but not vice versa). The slope is also found outside the confidence intervals of our slope for NGC 1569 and vice versa. The maximum diameters are also a factor of 3 different, 45 pc for NGC 1569 and 124 pc for NGC 4214. The difference in size range is not caused by a difference in distances (only a factor of 1.3). In this comparison of slopes and ranges to the non-photoionized emission, we can speculate that the difference in mechanical luminosity, the only significantly different property between these two galaxies, could cause an overpressurization in the ISM or strip the ISM around the H II regions in NGC 1569 compared to NGC 4214. Either scenario could shrink the detected H II region diameters in NGC 1569 compared to NGC 4214. The lack of difference in the luminosity function slopes suggests that the scenario of overpressurization in the ISM is the more likely choice. If the material around the H II regions was being stripped, then we would see a distinct difference in the luminosity range and luminosity function slopes in NGC 1569 (smaller) compared to NGC 4214 (larger). This overpressurization scenario can be checked by determining the density of these H II regions. The average density of the H II regions in NGC 1569 should be larger than the average density of NGC 4214.

7. SUMMARY

We have taken the *WFPC2* narrowband imagery of NGC 1569 and generated $H\alpha/H\beta$, $[O\ III]/H\beta$, and $[S\ II]/H\alpha$ ratio maps. We have determined the areas of non-photoionized and photoionized emission on a 3 pc by 3 pc scale. The non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity of NGC 1569 is $10\%\pm3\%$ of the total $H\alpha$ luminosity. This value is approximately 2.5 to 3 times larger than percentages found in similar starburst galaxies (Calzetti et al. 2004). However, we did point out that our method of determining the percentages are different from these past results. If we use a method more similar to theirs, we find that our percentage is 1.5–2 times smaller than these previous results. Thus, we can conclude the non-photoionized percentage is different for this object compared to others.

One-half to two-thirds of the non-photoionized emission originates in high surface brightness areas. We can explain the amount of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity using an instantaneous burst which uses a mass at least equal to the masses of the largest three star clusters in NGC 1569. Or we can explain the amount of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ luminosity using a continuous star formation scenario with a SFR of $0.15\ M_{\odot}\ yr^{-1}$ for 5 Myr and then the SFR from Greggio et al. (1998) for at least 10–20 Myr. We conclude that the main non-photoionizing mechanism is shocks mainly produced by supernovae or massive stellar winds. Individual sources responsible for these shocks include supernova remnants, x-ray binaries, and star clusters. The most prominent non-photoionized area is the arc/shell surrounding the brightest H II region complex. This site of vigorous star formation will likely continue to produce stars for the next several Myr.

We have also used the $H\alpha$ *WFPC2* image to generate H II region size distribution and luminosity function. We find that a power law best describes the size distribution. The slope derived for the H II region size distribution ($\alpha = -3.02\pm0.27$) is flatter than slopes for other irregular galaxies, but fits well within the confidence intervals of the past results and vice versa. Our luminosity function slope agrees with the result of Youngblood & Hunter (1999) over a logarithmic $H\alpha$ luminosity range of 37.22–38.91 dex. We also derive a flatter slope, -1.00 ± 0.08 , that is within the norm for irregular galaxies and that is over the logarithmic luminosity range of 36.81–38.91 dex.

The mechanical luminosity, which drives the non-photoionized emission, does not adversely affect the estimates of reddening or metallicity from ground-based spectroscopy by more than 3%. However, the slope of the size distribution as well as the range of sizes for NGC 1569 is different than that for NGC 4214. This finding coupled with the similarity in the luminosity function slopes and ranges for these galaxies suggests that overpressurization of the ISM from non-photoionized emission could cause these problems. Future density measurements of these H II regions could show explicitly whether this speculation is true. Within

4 pc of the 10-20 Myr old superstar clusters A1, A2, and B, no bright HII regions exist to a luminosity limit of 2.95×10^{36} erg s⁻¹, suggesting that the winds and shocks have effectively terminated star formation in this small cavity. In the three annular regions around the SSCs, both the HII region luminosity function and HII region size distribution are consistent with respect to one another and the galaxy as a whole. The HII region surface densities within the annuli remain constant as the annuli are moved away from the superstar clusters. These results indicate that these feedback effects in NGC 1569 are confined to the immediate vicinity of the most recent massive star formation event on scales of ~ 1 pc.

With these results, we add further evidence that a single burst event ~ 10 Myr ago created the super star clusters A1, A2, and B as well as the other star clusters responsible for the dominant event producing the current non-photoionized emission and properties of H II regions.

We thank the referee for her/his thoughtful insights into making this paper better. We thank the following people for providing us with the multiwavelength data found in this paper: Crystal Martin & Chris Taylor. We thank Lisa Kewley for providing the model results from her paper and for her helpful comments on this paper. B.B. and H.A.K. are supported by NASA grant NRA-00-01-LTSA-052.

REFERENCES

- Anders, P., de Grijs, R., Alvensleben, U., & Bissantz, N. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 17
- Buckalew, B., Dufour, R., Shopbell, P., & Walter, D. 2000, AJ, 120, 2402
- Buckalew, B., Kobulnicky, H. & Dufour, R. 2005, ApJS, 157, 30
- Calzetti, D., Harris, J., Gallagher, J., III, Smith, D., Conselice, C., Homeier, N., & Kewley, L. 2004, AJ, 127, 1405
- Devost, D., Roy, J., & Drissen, L. 1997, ApJ, 482, 765
- Garnett, D., Kennicutt, Jr., R., Chu, Y., & Skillman, E. 1991, ApJ, 373, 458
- González-Delgado, R., Leitherer, C., Heckman, T., & Cerviño, M. 1997, ApJ, 483, 705
- Greggio, L., Tosi, M., Clampin, M., De Marchi, G., Leitherer, C., Nota, A., & Sirianni, M. 1998, ApJ, 504, 725

- Greve, A., Tarchi, A., Hüttemeister, S., de Grijs, R., van der Hulst, M., Garrington, S., & Neininger, N. 2002, *A&A*, 381, 825
- Heckman, T., Dahlem, M., Lehnert, M., Fabbiano, G., Gilmore, D., & Waller, W. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 98
- Hartigan, P., Raymond, J., & Hartman, L. 1987, *ApJ*, 316, 323
- Hunter, D., O’Connell, R., Gallagher, J., & Smecker-Hane, T. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 2383
- Israel, F. 1988, *A&A*, 194, 24
- Kennicutt, Jr., R., Edgar, B., & Hodge, P. 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 761
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 541
- Kewley, L., Dopita, M., Sutherland, R., Heisler, C., & Trevana, J. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 121
- Leitherer, C., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, 123, 3
- Maoz, D., Ho, L., & Sternber, A. 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 139
- Martin, C., Kobulnicky, H., & Heckman, T. 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 663
- Mühle, S., Klein, U., Wilcots, E., & Hüttemeister, S. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 524
- Oey, M. & Clarke, C. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1543
- Oey, M., Parker, J., Mikles, V., & Zhang, X. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 2317
- Osterbrock, D. 1989, *Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei* (Mill Valley: University Science Books)
- Prada, F., Greve, A., & McKeith, C. 1994, *A&A*, 288, 396
- Rozas, M., Beckman, J., & Knappen, J. 1996, *A&A*, 307, 735
- Scott, D. 1979, *Biometrika*, 66, 605
- Seaton, M. 1979, *MNRAS*, 187, 73P
- Shopbell, P., Buckalew, B., Dufour, R., & Walter, D. 2000, *BAAS*, 197, 7814
- Taylor, C., Hüttemeister, S., Klein, U., & Greve, A. 1999, *A&A*, 349, 424
- Thilker, D., Braun, R., & Walterbos, R. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 3070

Tomita, A., Outa, K., & Saitou, M. 1994, PASJ, 46, 335

van den Bergh, S. 1981, AJ, 86, 1464

Waller, W. 1991, ApJ, 370, 144

Youngblood, A. & Hunter, D. 1999, ApJ, 519, 55

Fig. 1.— $H\alpha$ Images of NGC 1569. Both images have been binned in 3×3 pixel bins and are displayed together on the same scale to show the locations of the non-photoionized points (white dots in the lower image). The upper image displays only the $H\alpha$ image of NGC 1569. The lower $H\alpha$ image depicts the locations of the non-photoionized gas, exceeding the 5σ threshold, as white dots. Super Star Clusters A1, A2, and B are labeled to the right of the objects. The grayscale bars indicate the levels of the image and are in the units of 10^{14} ergs s^{-1} cm^{-2} .

Fig. 2.— $[O\ III]/H\beta$ ratio map of NGC 1569. This ratio map has the same labeling and notation as Figure 1. Each point represents the 5σ 3×3 $[O\ III]/H\beta$ binned results used in this study. The grayscale bar to the right indicates what numerical values that the various shades of grey represent.

Fig. 3.— $[S\ II]/H\alpha$ ratio map of NGC 1569. This ratio map has the same labeling and notation as Figure 1. Each point represents the 5σ 3×3 binned results used in this study. The grayscale bar to the right indicates what numerical values that the various shades of grey represent.

Fig. 4.— Plot of $\log([O\ III]/H\beta)$ vs. $\log([S\ II]/H\alpha)$ for NGC 1569. Each point represents a 3×3 bin of pixels (3.2 pc on a side) with a $H\beta$ value 5σ above the mean zeroed background of the $H\beta$ image. The solid line represents the maximum starburst line from Kewley et al. (2001). The position and distribution of the points is similar to the ratios of NGC 4214 from Calzetti et al. (2004). However, the percentage of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission is greater than the percentage of NGC 4214, by a factor of 3.

Fig. 5.— Wolf-Rayet star locations superimposed on the NGC 1569 $H\alpha$ image. This image has been binned in 3×3 pixel bins. Superimposed as white squares are the $>5\sigma$ locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. Superimposed in gray circles with black outlines are the locations of Wolf-Rayet stars or star clusters with the presence of Wolf-Rayet stars. Each circle is labeled with its designation from Buckalew et al. (2000). Orientation of this figure is the same as that of Figure 1. Note the arc directly below C5, indicating that the wind from this cluster is possibly driving into the nearby ISM and creating a localized region of non-photoionized $H\alpha$ emission.

Fig. 6.— F555W images of NGC 1569 taken from the archival data of GO-6111 (left) and GO-6423 (right). Orientation of these images are given by the compasses in the respective images. Superimposed in white are the 5σ 3×3 locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. The numbers are the star cluster designations from Hunter et al. (2000) and are discussed in §4.2.2.

Fig. 7.— Supernova remnants labeled on the NGC 1569 $H\alpha$ image. Superimposed in white are the 5σ locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. Superimposed as gray circles with black outlines are the locations of radio supernovae and supernovae remnants from Greve et al. (2002). Each circle is labeled with its designation from Greve et al. (2002). Note that most of these point sources have some nearby or superimposed non-photoionized gas (see §4.2.3 for more discussion).

Fig. 8.— X-ray point sources labeled on the NGC 1569 $H\alpha$ image. Superimposed in white are the 5σ locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. Superimposed as gray circles with black outlines are the locations of X-ray point sources from Martin et al. (2002). Each circle is labeled with its designation from Martin et al. (2002). Note that most of these point sources have some nearby or superimposed non-photoionized gas (see §4.2.4 for more discussion).

Fig. 9.— Broadband X-ray image from Martin et al. (2002). Superimposed in white are the 3×3 5σ locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. North is up, and east is to the left. We have circled in gray the only obvious coincidence of extended X-ray emission and non-photoionized gas. This region is the brightest $H\ II$ region complex in the galaxy and the location of the strong thermal radio source M-1 of Greve et al. (2002). No other associations are apparent.

Fig. 10.— CO map of NGC 1569 from Taylor et al. (1999). Superimposed in black are the 3×3 5σ locations of the non-photoionized gas within NGC 1569. We have labeled the CO detections as designated in Taylor et al. (1999). North is up, and east is to the left. The only CO emission objects near non-photoionized points are 3 and 4 (designations from Taylor et al. 1999). The position of object 3 is the same as the extended X-ray emission circumscribed by non-photoionized points and as M-1, the large thermal radio source from Greve et al. (2002).

Fig. 11.— Histogram of H II region diameters with the fitted size distribution overplotted. The solid line is the fit as described by the equation in Table 3. The points are the bins as derived using the equation of Scott (1979). The x-axis uncertainties represent the size of the individual bins. The y-axis uncertainties are 1σ in length.

Fig. 12.— $H\alpha$ luminosity histogram with the fitted luminosity function overplotted. The solid line is the fit of all luminosity bins and is described by the equation in Table 3. The long dashed line is the fit of the luminosity bins covering the range from 37 to 38.91 and is described in Table 3. The points are the bins as derived using the equation of Scott (1979). The x-axis uncertainties represent the size of the individual bins. The y-axis uncertainties are 1σ in length. The dashed line in the bottom right corner is the slope as derived by Youngblood & Hunter (1999). This line is significant only between 38 dex and 39.9 dex and fits our data points over this range too. The slope of the our long dashed line and that of Youngblood & Hunter (1999) are similar. The diamonds above the four leftmost points are the incompleteness corrected values. Note that they do not change the shape of the histogram much.

Fig. 13.— $H\alpha$ image with the positions of the H II regions in our sample superimposed as white squares. These H II regions were chosen by HIIphot to have a signal-to-noise of 100 or better. Roughly 1000 H II regions were detected at this signal-to-noise threshold. Three annuli are drawn on this image to represent where our subsamples are located. Each subsample has the same number of H II regions. These subsamples have the same luminosity function and size distribution slopes with respect to one another, indicating no change by proximity to the super star clusters. The surface density of H II regions is 1.33 times higher in the first annuli (the one nearest the super star clusters) than that from the next annuli out.

Table 1. Observational Parameters of HST/WFPC2 New & Archival Data

Filter	Band/ Emission Line	PI	GO-Program Number	Date	Exposure Time (sec)
F487N	H β	Shopbell	8133	23 Sept. 1999	3200
F502N	[O III]	Shopbell	8133	23 Sept. 1999	1500
F547M	Strömgren y	Shopbell	8133	23 Sept. 1999	60
F656N	H α	Shopbell	8133	23 Sept. 1999	1600
F671N	[S II]	Shopbell	8133	23 Sept. 1999	3000

Table 2. Measured and Derived Quantities of NGC 1569 (3×3 5σ results)

Property	Units	Value
$F_{\text{H}\alpha}$	$\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	3.4×10^{-11}
$L_{\text{H}\alpha}$	ergs s^{-1}	1.9×10^{40}
$\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$	$\text{M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	0.15
$Q_0(\text{H}\alpha)$	photons s^{-1}	1.4×10^{52}
$F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{non-pi}}$	$\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	5.0×10^{-12}
$L_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{non-pi}}$	ergs s^{-1}	2.9×10^{39}
$L_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{non-pi}}/L_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{total}}$		0.15
$A_{\text{non-pi}}/A_{\text{total}}$		0.16
$L_{\text{mechanical}}$	ergs s^{-1}	$0.56\text{-}1.1\times10^{41}$
$L_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{mechanical}}/L_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{total}}$		0.1-0.2

Note. — “non-pi” indicates non-photoionized results.

Table 3. H II Size Distribution and Luminosity Function Equations

Property	Units	Value
Diameter Lower & Upper Limits	pc	6.3–44.8
Size Distribution Equation		$\log(N) = -3.02 \pm 0.27 \times \log(D[\text{pc}]) + 5.16 \pm 0.27$
Adjusted R^2		-0.9
Luminosity Lower & Upper Limits	ergs s ⁻¹	$10^{36.81} - 10^{38.91}$
Luminosity Function Equation		$\log(N) = -1 \pm 0.08 \times \log(L[\text{ergs s}^{-1}]) + 39.25 \pm 2.14$
Adjusted R^2		-0.92
Luminosity Lower & Upper Limits	ergs s ⁻¹	$10^{37.22} - 10^{38.91}$
Luminosity Function Equation		$\log(N) = -1.22 \pm 0.06 \times \log(L[\text{ergs s}^{-1}]) + 47.5 \pm 2.14$
Adjusted R^2		-0.97

Note. — 1σ uncertainties come after the \pm . Adjusted R^2 values are a measure of how well the linear regression fits the data given their uncertainties. The higher the number (maxing at 1), the better that the fit is. Significant regressions usually have values between 0.9 and 1. A negative value indicates an inverse correlation.

This figure "f1a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f1b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f6a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f6b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f8.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f9.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f10.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f11.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f12.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>

This figure "f13.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0605593v1>